MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1800 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 370

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW

FACSIMILE: (703) 684-1157

SHRINATH MALUR*
CARL I. BRUNDIDGE*
DONNA K. MASON*

JOHN R. MATTINGLY

DANIEL J. STANGER

COLIN D. BARNITZ GENE W. STOCKMAN OF COUNSEL

JEFFREY M. KETCHUM Registered Patent Agent

* Bar Membership Other Than Virginia

(703) 684-1120

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 0 2 2007

Date: April 2, 2007

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

Facsimile Number: 571 273-8300

To:

Examiner V. Perungavoor

Group Art Unit 2132, USPTO

From:

Mr. John R. Mattingly

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

Re:

USSN 10/803,945

Attorney Docket No.: TSM-37

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION.

I hereby certify that the following listed documents are being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below:

Applicants Examiner Interview Summary Record

John/R. Mattingly Reg. No. 30,293 April 2, 2007

Date

Total Number of Pages (including cover sheet):

If the facsimile you receive is incomplete or illegible, please CALL (703) 684-1120. Thank you.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 0 2 2007

TSM-37

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/803,945

Confirmation No. 7176

Applicant

K. SHIMOOKA et al.

Filed

March 19, 2004

Title

DATA PROTECTING APPARATUS AND METHOD,

AND COMPUTER SYSTEM

TC/AU

2132

Examiner

V. Perungavoor

Customer No.:

24956

APPLICANTS EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Telephonic Interview was held on February 16, 2007 with the undersigned attorney, the Examiner and Supervising Examiner Gilberto Barron, Jr. In the interview, the undersigned attorney discussed the application of the primary reference of Kuznetsov to the claimed invention of claim 7.

The Examiner stated that the language added to the amendment filed with the RCE does not overcome the reference. The Examiner suggested adding language that distinguishes the first volume and second volume "pair" in claim 7 from the data in memory 122 of Kuznetsov and the data in the hard disk 32, which are a "pair" in that each stores the same data.

Appl. No. 10/803,945 Amendment dated December 14, 2006 Response to Office Action mailed August 14, 2006 Docket No. TSM-37

It was noted by the undersigned attorney that items 32 and 122, 126 of Kuznetsov are not equivalent to the claimed first and second storage volumes, particularly because memories 122, 126 do not constitute a second volume that receives replication data from a first volume wherein the second volume is a pair of the first volume, as claimed.

No agreement was reached in the Interview.

Applicants extend their appreciation to the Examiner and to Mr. Barron for their time and courtesy in granting the Interview. Applicants will submit a reply to the outstanding Office Action mailed March 1, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Mattingly

Reg. No. 30,293 (703) 684-1120

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 370

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

703-684-1120

Date: April 2, 2007